

THE RESTRUCTURING
REVIEW

TWELFTH EDITION

Editor
Christopher Mallon

THE LAWREVIEWS

THE
RESTRUCTURING
REVIEW

TWELFTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in August 2019
For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor
Christopher Mallon

THE LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER

Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE

Rebecca Mogridge

RESEARCH LEAD

Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR

Gavin Jordan

HEAD OF PRODUCTION

Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Anna Andreoli

SUBEDITOR

Claire Ancell

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK
© 2019 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as at July 2019, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed
to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-83862-047-9

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP

ARENDT & MEDERNACH

AZB & PARTNERS

BAKER MCKENZIE

BEAUCHAMPS

BGP LITIGATION

CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

CUATRECASAS

DE PARDIEU BROCAS MAFFEI AARPI

GILBERT + TOBIN

GRIMALDI STUDIO LEGALE

HENGELER MUELLER

LINKLATERS LLP

MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL

MAYER BROWN

PAKSOY

PRAGER DREIFUSS AG

RITCH, MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, SC

SARANTITIS LAW FIRM

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

SOTAMAA & CO ATTORNEYS LTD

CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	v
<i>Christopher Mallon</i>	
Chapter 1 ARGENTINA.....	1
<i>Fernando Daniel Hernández</i>	
Chapter 2 AUSTRALIA.....	10
<i>Dominic Emmett, Peter Bowden and Anna Ryan</i>	
Chapter 3 AUSTRIA.....	24
<i>Thomas Trettnak and Heinrich Foglar-Deinhardstein</i>	
Chapter 4 CANADA.....	36
<i>Michael Nowina, Alissa Scarcello and Brittany Shales</i>	
Chapter 5 ENGLAND AND WALES.....	44
<i>Christopher Mallon, Jonathan Akinluyi, Riccardo Alonzi and Olivia Bushell</i>	
Chapter 6 FINLAND.....	66
<i>Martina Kronström</i>	
Chapter 7 FRANCE.....	75
<i>Joanna Gumpelson and Philippe Dubois</i>	
Chapter 8 GERMANY.....	87
<i>Martin Tasma</i>	
Chapter 9 GREECE.....	101
<i>Dorotheos Samoladas, Maria-Fereniki Tsitsirigkou and Dionysis Kazaglis</i>	
Chapter 10 HONG KONG	129
<i>Tom Pugh</i>	

Contents

Chapter 11	INDIA	149
	<i>Piyush Mishra</i>	
Chapter 12	IRELAND	159
	<i>Barry Cahir</i>	
Chapter 13	ITALY	170
	<i>Tiziana Del Prete</i>	
Chapter 14	LUXEMBOURG	180
	<i>Clara Mara-Marhuenda, Sébastien Binard and Grégory Minne</i>	
Chapter 15	MEXICO	192
	<i>Thomas S Heather</i>	
Chapter 16	NETHERLANDS	204
	<i>Paul Kuipers</i>	
Chapter 17	RUSSIA	217
	<i>Alexander Vaneev and Ilya Sorokin</i>	
Chapter 18	SINGAPORE	226
	<i>Kenneth Lim Tao Chung and Wong Pei Ting</i>	
Chapter 19	SPAIN	237
	<i>Fedra Valencia García, Íñigo de Luisa Matz, Íñigo Rubio Lasarte and Carlos Ara Triadú</i>	
Chapter 20	SWITZERLAND	251
	<i>Daniel Hayek and Mark Meili</i>	
Chapter 21	TURKEY	261
	<i>Sera Somay, Selin Barlin Aral and Doguhan Uygun</i>	
Chapter 22	UNITED STATES	273
	<i>J Eric Ivester</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	291
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS	307

PREFACE

I am very pleased to present this twelfth edition of *The Restructuring Review*. As with the previous editions, our intention is to help general counsel, private practice lawyers and the public sector understand the conditions prevailing in the global restructuring market in 2019, and to highlight some of the more significant legal and commercial developments and trends that have been evident in recent years, and that are expected to be significant in the future.

In what appears to be a growing trend, the global economic situation, particularly for European and other Western countries, continues to be uncertain. Despite the modest strengthening of global GDP in recent years, unresolved trade tensions between the United States and China continue to unsettle markets and European countries remain in the grip of an ongoing impasse over Brexit.

According to figures published by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), global GDP growth is expected to fall from 3.6 per cent in 2018 to 3.3 per cent in 2019, with growth in the European Union falling from 2.1 per cent to 1.6 per cent over the same period. Political instability in the European Union shows no signs of abating, and remains highly visible in movements such as the ‘*gilet jaunes*’ in France or success of populist political parties in the European Parliament elections held in May 2019. The extent of national public debt and non-performing loans in the eurozone also continue to present a major challenge to eurozone economies and the legacy of the 2008 crash is still readily apparent in countries such as Italy and Greece. Although the European Central Bank (ECB) made good on its promise to end quantitative easing by the end of 2018, interest rates in the eurozone remain at record lows and the impending departure of Mario Draghi as ECB president leaves something of a question mark over the future trajectory of European monetary policy.

More broadly, the tensions surrounding the Middle East and Russia show no indication of being resolved, and differences in global attitudes to climate change are beginning to reveal a new, and potentially very significant, source of contention between the world’s major powers.

With the ever-increasing significance of the Chinese and other Asian economies on the world stage, it is also notable that the seemingly endless trend of high-paced growth appears to be slowing, with IMF figures predicting a fall in Chinese growth from 6.6 per cent in 2018 to 6.3 per cent in 2019, and a continued decline in subsequent years. Effects are bound to be felt on the global stage as the world adapts to the slowdown.

While, of course, unforeseen circumstances have a tendency to derail even the most cautious of predictions, uncertainty and financial stress are usually good indicators that a turn in the economic cycle is approaching. As such, the twelfth edition of this work continues to be relevant and important, in particular, as a result of the increasingly cross-border nature of many corporate restructurings.

As ever, I would like to extend my gratitude to the contributors from some of the world's leading law firms who have given such valuable support and cooperation in the preparation of this work, and to our publishers, without whom this work would not have been possible.

Christopher Mallon

Retired partner of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

London

July 2019

AUSTRIA

*Thomas Trettnak and Heinrich Foglar-Deinhardstein*¹

I OVERVIEW OF RESTRUCTURING AND INSOLVENCY ACTIVITY

In 2018, the number of businesses filing for insolvency decreased slightly. Fewer than 5,000 companies filed for insolvency, the lowest number in the past 20 years. In 2018, 4,980 applications were filed for insolvency proceedings in Austria, down 1.9 per cent compared to 2017. In total, 2,985 companies began insolvency proceedings, while a further 1,995 companies saw their proceedings dismissed because of a lack of assets to cover costs. However, the slight decrease in the number of companies filing for insolvency proceedings is balanced by the increased amount of liabilities and the number of employees affected. Statistics show that liabilities increased by 11.2 per cent to a total of €2,071 million as a result of several major insolvencies, underlining the large amount of debt outstanding in Austria at present. Moreover, the number of employees affected by the insolvency of their employer increased to 19,000 (up 16.6 per cent) compared to 2017 (16,300). In real terms, the number of people affected by insolvencies in Austria has therefore increased rather than decreased.²

II GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE RESTRUCTURING AND INSOLVENCY LEGAL FRAMEWORK

In insolvency law, Austria is generally considered a creditor-friendly jurisdiction. In order to prevent insolvencies, and to protect the companies themselves and their creditors, the Insolvency Law Amendment Act 2010 entered into force on 1 July 2010.³ It quite comprehensively changed Austrian insolvency law. Since 2010, the Austrian Insolvency Act has provided for uniform insolvency proceedings.⁴ First and foremost, the new insolvency law aims at encouraging companies in financial distress to use the various procedures for restructuring and protecting insolvency as early as possible. In combination with a mandatory filing requirement, delays in filing for insolvency shall be prevented. The Insolvency Law Amendment Act 2010 was well received throughout the insolvency community and has proved to be a success.

1 Thomas Trettnak and Heinrich Foglar-Deinhardstein are partners at Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Hlawati Rechtsanwälte GmbH.

2 Insolvency statistic 2018 of the Austrian creditors' representation organisation KSV1870: www.ksv.at/insolvenzstatistiken/insolvenzstatistik-2018-final.

3 Insolvency Law Amendment Act 2010 dated 20 May 2010, BGBl I 2010/29.

4 Feil, *Insolvenzordnung* 7, Section 1 FN 1 et seq.

i Uniform insolvency proceedings

In Austria, insolvency proceedings are conducted either as restructuring proceedings or as bankruptcy (i.e., liquidation) proceedings.⁵

Bankruptcy proceedings

This is the most common form of insolvency proceedings in Austria. It aims at liquidating all assets and distributing the funds generated from liquidation to the debtor's creditors. When opening bankruptcy proceedings, the debtor loses its rights of administration and disposition, which pass to the insolvency administrator. From that point on the debtor remains the owner of the assets, but the assets form the insolvency estate to be used primarily to satisfy the claims of creditors.

Restructuring proceedings

One of the main objectives of the Insolvency Law Amendment Act 2010 was to improve the debtor's ability to continue as a going concern. Restructuring proceedings encourage a form of debt settlement that is better prepared and, therefore, rapidly finalised. It aims at continuing the operation of the business of the debtor during and after the proceedings, without liquidating the debtor.

If restructuring proceedings fail, they are transformed by court order into bankruptcy proceedings.⁶ Additionally, the Austrian Reorganisation Act⁷ also provides – at least in theory – provisions for the restructuring of a company suffering financial difficulties. It is directed at companies that find themselves in an early stage of financial distress. According to the provisions of the Austrian Reorganisation Act, a debt-equity ratio of below 8 per cent and a debt amortisation period of more than 15 years indicate an impending insolvency. However, these provisions have little practical relevance, as the completion of such procedure requires the consent of all creditors.⁸ Nevertheless, the Austrian Reorganisation Act was prominently used during the Hypo Alpe Adria crisis when the Kärntner Landesholding⁹ applied for reorganisation proceedings at the competent Regional Court Klagenfurt¹⁰ in order to take certain legal measures on behalf of the Kärntner Landesholding and Carinthia in its function as supervisor as well as potential creditors of liabilities facing several claims in connection with the HETA settlement. The respective petition was withdrawn before a decision was made.

Apart from the aforementioned, out-of-court restructuring efforts and negotiations are very common and usually initiated prior to the opening of (in-court) insolvency proceedings,

5 The Insolvency Law Amendment Act 2010 adapted Austrian insolvency law to the new economic developments and abolished the practically irrelevant composition proceedings. Now, Austrian law provides for certain types of insolvency proceedings which are, however, all governed by the same regulations subject to a few specifics in each case.

6 This is one of the results of uniform insolvency proceedings.

7 Unternehmensreorganisationsgesetz, BGBl. I Nr. 114/1997, as amended.

8 For a comprehensive summary of this 'stand-alone pre-bankruptcy proceeding', see Mohr, Unternehmensreorganisationsgesetz – URG (1997).

9 State Holding Law of Carinthia, LGBl No. 37/1991, as amended.

10 The respective petition can be found at: www.ksv.at/sites/default/files/assets/documents/antrag_auf_einleitung_eines_reorganisationsverfahrens.pdf.

and conducted mostly on an informal basis. However, Austrian law does not know hybrid court-administered restructuring proceedings or insolvency proceedings, such as the German *Schutzschirmverfahren* (i.e., special pre-insolvency, court-administered proceedings).

ii Test for insolvency

Under the Austrian Insolvency Act, the opening of insolvency proceedings requires either illiquidity¹¹ or over-indebtedness¹² of the debtor.

Illiquidity

The Austrian Insolvency Act does not provide any definition of illiquidity. Case law, however, qualifies illiquidity as a permanent lack of funds that prevents the debtor from discharging debts that have fallen due for repayment. Accordingly, the Austrian Supreme Court assumes illiquidity in case the debtor suffers from a permanent lack of sufficient funds. A mere delay in payment does not amount to insolvency. Further, illiquidity is indicated in case there is a liquidity gap of more than 5 per cent of the obligations of the debtor.¹³

Over-indebtedness

This criterion is assessed by means of a two-step test. Austrian law abstains from relying solely on the balance sheets but rather also considers the future commercial opportunities and development of the debtor. Over-indebtedness thus means that the liabilities of a company exceed its assets and that the debtor has a negative going concern forecast. According to case law, the necessity to apply this two-step test is triggered by a finding of negative equity based on the balance sheet of the respective company. Meanwhile, the prevailing view¹⁴ is that the assets are assessed on the basis of their liquidation value rather than their going concern value. If the company is in a state of calculated over-indebtedness, the second step of the test has to be performed, which has to show a positive going concern forecast (a positive *Fortbestehensprognose*).¹⁵ The going concern forecast has to assess future solvency and economic viability of the company. A tool commonly used for preparing such going concern forecast is a standardised template of the Austrian Federal Chamber of Commerce detailing the key elements to be set forth therein as well as the methods to be used for the preparation of such a forecast.¹⁶ In a nutshell, a business plan has to be prepared, showing – with a preponderance of probability – that the company will (1) become and stay solvent within the first six to 12 months following the date of the going concern forecast, and further, in the long term (i.e., two to three years), and (2) be able to achieve economic viability in the form of a sustainable turn-around.¹⁷ With regard to the required ‘preponderance of probability’, Austrian literature recently criticised the lack of methods to help substantiate this factor. Thus, new ideas are currently being developed in order to help assess the preponderance of probability and,

11 Section 66 of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

12 Section 67 of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

13 OGH 3 Ob 9910/w.

14 Burger, *Entwicklungslinien der Rechtsprechung zum Überschuldungstatbestand*, wbl 1988.

15 Trettnak/Heimel, *Eine Prognose, die beim Weiterleben hilft*, *Der Standard, Wirtschaft & Recht Journal*, 13 October 2016, 14 et seq.

16 Leitfaden *Fortbestehensprognose* Gemeinsame Stellungnahme, March 2016.

17 Karollus/Huemer, *Die Fortbestehensprognose im Rahmen der Überschuldungsprüfung* (2006).

consequently, provide sufficient plausibility of the positive going concern forecast.¹⁸ One of those methods frequently discussed in Austria is the 'Monte-Carlo' simulation. Given that there is a two-step test, the debtor is not obliged to file for insolvency in case it provides such positive going concern forecast irrespective of whether or not calculated over-indebtedness has been identified.

According to Section 69, Paragraph 2 of the Austrian Insolvency Act, directors of a company are obliged to file for the opening of insolvency proceedings without undue or culpable delay, but in no case later than 60 days after the insolvency criteria are met pursuant to the Austrian Insolvency Act. In bankruptcy proceedings, the application may either be filed by the debtor himself or by a creditor.¹⁹ In contrast, an application for the opening of restructuring proceedings may only be filed by the debtor. Moreover, sufficient assets to cover the costs of the proceedings are required to open insolvency proceedings.²⁰ In case no sufficient assets are available, the respective insolvency petition is rejected *limine* and insolvency proceedings are not commenced.²¹ As a consequence, the respective company is dissolved *ex officio* owing to the lack of funds and deleted from the Austrian Companies Register.

iii Insolvency and restructuring proceedings

The purpose of the Insolvency Law Amendment Act 2010 in particular was to facilitate the reorganisation of a distressed business.²² Austrian law thus provides for two types of restructuring proceedings. Both are aimed at ensuring the continuing survival of the debtor by providing for the restructuring of (some of its) financial obligations. Simultaneously with the application for the opening of restructuring proceedings, a restructuring plan has to be submitted.

iv Restructuring proceedings with self-administration

This restructuring regime gives the debtor the chance of retaining the administration of its own assets, especially being able to continue to manage its own company.²³ Generally speaking, the restructuring administrator's approval is required only for matters outside the ordinary course of business. Self-administration requires the debtor to file an application for self-administration supplemented by certain documents and a restructuring plan that provides for a minimum debt repayment quota to the creditors of 30 per cent of registered debt

18 Birgmayr-Baier/Piringer/Schützinger, Die Plausibilisierung der 'überwiegenden Wahrscheinlichkeit' bei Fortbestehensprognosen durch Monte-Carlo-Simulationen, ZIK 2016/224.

19 See Section 70 of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

20 With regard to companies the initial cost of the insolvency proceeding is estimated at €4,000. It is, however, not necessary that this amount is available in cash. Tangible assets as well as claims against creditors are considered sufficient for this purpose. Whether or not there are sufficient assets has to be assessed *ex officio*. In case there is a lack of sufficient assets, the competent court requests an advanced payment from the applicant (debtor or creditor). The obligation to provide such an advanced payment relates to the directors as well as shareholders holding a stake of more than 50 per cent.

21 In 2018, a total of 1,995 insolvency proceedings were rejected *a limine* because the petitioning entity did not have sufficient assets. This accounted for approximately 40 per cent of all insolvency proceedings in Austria in 2018.

22 Restructuring proceedings may already be applied for in case of impending illiquidity.

23 The debtor's right to keep administering its assets is governed by strict rules and only possible for a very brief period of time (see Sections 169 and 170 of the Austrian Insolvency Act).

repayable within two years.²⁴ The restructuring plan in particular must provide: (1) that the rights of secured creditors as well as the rights of creditors holding a security interest in an asset will not be affected;²⁵ (2) full payment of all priority claims,²⁶ any monies advanced by a third party to cover the initial costs of the proceedings and the fees of the administrator; and (3) a quota of at least 30 per cent. Furthermore, the debtor must provide evidence in the application that it is able to fund the priority claims for a period of 90 days following the application.

In order to adopt the restructuring plan, a double majority must be achieved: (1) more than half of the creditors present have to vote in favour of the restructuring plan; and (2) creditors holding more than 50 per cent of the total amount of all current creditors' claims must consent. The restructuring plan is only adopted if both majorities are achieved; in such a case, dissenting creditors are overruled and have to accept the respective plan.

The restructuring plan has to be approved by the creditors within 90 days of the commencement of restructuring proceedings,²⁷ otherwise the status of self-administration is lost. However, the proceedings as such still continue as restructuring proceedings with the consequence that the right of administration and disposition pass on to the insolvency administrator.

v Administrated restructuring proceedings

In case the debtor submits a restructuring plan, but does not ask for self-administration, proceedings are opened and called administrated proceedings. The same is true in case the court rejects the debtor's request for self-administration. In case of administration by a restructuring administrator, the debt repayment quota may be as low as 20 per cent of the registered debt (repayable within two years).²⁸ Again, acceptance of the restructuring plan requires a double majority. Administrated restructuring proceedings offer the advantage of a longer period of time for preparing (and financing) a restructuring plan. Hence, the majority of restructuring proceedings are being conducted in this form.²⁹

vi General principles

Against the backdrop of wanting to facilitate the reorganisation of businesses, the debtor is also able to submit a restructuring plan even if only ordinary bankruptcy proceedings have been initiated.³⁰ This is also in the creditor's interest as the recovery quota is somewhat higher compared to ordinary bankruptcy proceedings. In case the submitted restructuring plan is admissible the court will issue a formal edict opening the proceedings. The court has to set a date for a hearing with regard to the restructuring plan within a period of not more than six weeks. In this hearing the creditors will take a vote on the proposed restructuring plan. In the

24 Section 169 of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

25 Section 149(1) of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

26 Section 150(1) of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

27 Regardless of this 90-day deadline, the court has the right to withdraw the debtor's right to self-administer its company *inter alia* if the debtor does not seem trustworthy, is not paying the priority claims in time or has made incorrect statements in its submission for the opening of restructuring proceedings.

28 Section 141(1) of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

29 In 2018, 346 administrated restructuring proceedings were initiated compared to 46 restructuring proceedings with self-administration. For further details, see the insolvency statistics for 2018 compiled by the Austrian creditors' representation organisation KSV1870.

30 This can be done until the termination of the proceedings. See Section 140(1) of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

light of achieving better results for creditors, there is a presumption for keeping the debtor's business in operation. The business itself may only be realised: (1) in case the restructuring plan is not approved within 90 days; (2) if the restructuring plan no longer corresponds to the common interest of the creditors; or (3) the requirements for carrying on with the business are no longer fulfilled. In practice, the continuation of the operations of the debtor's business is only possible in case there are additional sources of financing and any further losses can be avoided.

In case the restructuring plan has been approved with the necessary double majority, it is further required to receive the confirmation of the competent insolvency court. The court, however, must not force the creditors to accept the plan. Following the acceptance and confirmation of the restructuring plan, the debtor will (again) be vested with all rights in and to the estate. In certain cases, however, the restructuring plan may also provide for a trustee to be appointed to: (1) supervise the fulfilment of the restructuring plan by the debtor; (2) take over the estate with the mandate to fulfil the restructuring plan; or (3) liquidate the estate.

vii Bankruptcy proceedings

In 2018, for instance, a total of 2,593 bankruptcy proceedings were initiated in Austria,³¹ making this liquidation proceeding still the most common type of insolvency proceedings in Austria. In bankruptcy proceedings, the application might either be filed by the debtor himself or by a creditor. The insolvency court has to assess whether the conditions for the opening of the proceedings are fulfilled. In order to avoid that creditor's using filing for insolvency as leverage, the court has to continue with its assessment even if the application has been withdrawn by the creditor as the withdrawal alone does not suffice to rebut the debtor's illiquidity.

In case bankruptcy proceedings are initiated, the insolvency court in any event has to appoint an insolvency administrator. The debtor's right to administer and dispose of the assets passes to the insolvency administrator. Any acts taken by the debtor after the opening of insolvency proceedings are legally void with regard to the insolvency creditors.

The opening of insolvency proceedings is tied to a number of substantive legal consequences that are applicable to all kinds of insolvency proceedings. Once insolvency proceedings are commenced, creditors can only enforce their claims using the rules provided for in the proceedings. Court proceedings and litigation with regard to the insolvency estate are suspended *ex lege* with the opening of insolvency proceedings; they cannot be commenced or continued. Creditors are further prohibited from obtaining court-ordered security; executions against claims in insolvency are inadmissible.³² Further, Austrian insolvency law stipulates a bar on dissolving contracts that are essential for carrying on with the business. Basically such contracts – within six months of the opening of insolvency proceedings – may only be dissolved for good cause. In this regard it has to be noted that the deterioration of the economic situation of the debtor as well as the debtor's default in fulfilling claims due before opening insolvency proceedings are not considered to be good causes within the meaning of this provision.³³ Further, there are certain limitations on the creditor's ability

31 Insolvency statistics for 2018 compiled by the Austrian creditors' representation organisation KSV1870.

32 See Sections 6 et seq. of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

33 The restriction set forth in Section 25a of the Austrian Insolvency Act does *inter alia* not apply to claims for payments from loans and employment contracts.

to use insolvency as grounds for termination of contractual agreements.³⁴ With regard to bilateral contracts that neither party has completely fulfilled at the time of the opening of the insolvency proceedings, Section 21 of the Austrian Insolvency Act stipulates that the insolvency administrator may elect to either assume or withdraw from said contract. In case the insolvency administrator assumes the contract, any claims of the contractual partner arising out of this contract constitute priority claims.

viii The taking and enforcement of security

The effect of insolvency on security arrangements depends on the exact type of security. The Austrian Insolvency Act distinguishes between the right of separation of assets and the right of separate satisfaction. Generally speaking, both are – subject to avoidance claims (see below) – not affected by the opening of insolvency proceedings. In case of an absolute right *in rem* such as in particular retention of title, the respective secured creditor has a claim of separation to receive the asset as this asset does not form part of the insolvency estate.³⁵ Claims relating to an absolute right *in rem* are made against the insolvency administrator. Where the insolvency administrator does not release the asset, an action may be brought against the administrator. With regard to claims for separate satisfaction (such as pledges and securities) the creditors are entitled to receive the value of the assets in case they are sold by the insolvency administrator. The amount received when selling such assets serves as a pool of separate assets and the respective creditors are entitled to preferential satisfaction from the sale proceeds. In case the proceeds are insufficient to satisfy the claim, the creditor has to declare the remaining amount as an insolvency claim with the insolvency court.

ix Duties of directors of companies in financial difficulties

With regard to management liability, a distinction needs to be made between a director's internal liability in regard to the company and potential external liability in regard to third parties. Under Austrian law, directors are obliged to perform their duties with the care of a prudent and diligent business manager.³⁶ Directors may be held personally liable if they negligently or wilfully cause damage to the company. Generally speaking only the company itself, represented by the (remaining) directors, the shareholders or the insolvency administrator is entitled to claim compensation for such damage. Direct claims may be brought by third parties only in specific cases where the director has violated a law protecting the interest of said third parties.

The violation of the director's duty to file for the opening of insolvency proceedings without undue or culpable delay triggers the liability of directors with regard to all creditors for damage caused by such delay, since the respective provision of the Austrian Insolvency Act qualifies as a protective law to the benefit of the creditors. On one side, the protection covers existing creditors (i.e., creditors whose claims existed prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings). Such creditors are entitled to claim the quota damage, which is defined as

34 Trettnak, *Vertragsauflösung bei Insolvenz erleichtert*, Der Standard, 7 April 2014.

35 In case the discharge of a secured claim could endanger the business carrying on secured creditors are barred from enforcing their claim prior to the expiry of six months after the restructuring proceedings were opened if such enforcement might endanger the continuation of the debtor's business operations. See Section 11 (2) of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

36 See Section 25 of the Austrian Act on Limited Liability Companies and Section 84 of the Austrian Stock Corporation Act.

the damage resulting from the late application for the opening of an insolvency proceeding. Further, also new creditors (who only become creditors after the opening of insolvency proceedings) are protected. In this regard the Austrian Supreme Court has ruled in several cases that (only) the negative interest may be reimbursed.³⁷

The Austrian Criminal Code also contains provisions on insolvency proceedings. The most important provisions are: (1) grossly negligent interference with creditors' interests;³⁸ (2) fraudulent intervention with a creditor's claims;³⁹ (3) preferential treatment of creditors;⁴⁰ and (4) withholding of social security payments.⁴¹

x Clawback actions

The Austrian Insolvency Act states that transactions that unduly decrease the assets of the debtor prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings may be contested. All clawback provisions aim to secure the debtor's assets prior to the opening of proceedings. In this regard, transactions entered into by the debtor and a third party that discriminate against other creditors may be contested.⁴² The general principles for contesting transactions are as follows: (1) there is a transaction; (2) the transaction is entered into prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings; (3) the transaction unduly decreases the assets of the debtor; (4) the transaction discriminates against other creditors; and (5) a specific contesting provision of the Austrian Insolvency Act is fulfilled. The Austrian Insolvency Act provides for the following contesting provisions:

- a* Intent to discriminate: This provision applies in case of transactions concluded by the debtor to intentionally discriminate against certain creditors with regard to the others within the past 10 years prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings and if the other contracting party knew of this intent. If the other contracting party should have known of such intent, the period in which to contest the transaction is reduced to two years. Regarding related persons a statutory law provides for a reversal of the burden of proof.
- b* Squandering of assets: A transaction falls under this provision if the other contracting party must or should have known that the transaction squanders the company's assets and the transaction was entered into within the last year prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings.
- c* Dispositions free of charge: This provision relates to transactions that were made free of charge (gifts) and were entered into within the last two years prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings.
- d* Preferential treatment of creditors: Transactions concluded within the last year preceding the commencement of insolvency proceedings but after material insolvency or the petition for opening insolvency proceedings or in the last 60 days may be challenged if said transaction was objectively preferential or was intended to be preferential and thus discriminates against one creditor with regard to the others.

37 OGH 4 Ob 31/07y.

38 Section 159 of the Austrian Criminal Code.

39 Section 156 of the Austrian Criminal Code.

40 Section 158 of the Austrian Criminal Code.

41 Section 153c of the Austrian Criminal Code.

42 See Sections 27 to 32 of the Austrian Insolvency Act.

- e Knowledge of illiquidity: A transaction carried out within the last six months preceding the commencement of insolvency proceedings but after material insolvency or the petition for opening insolvency proceedings may be challenged if the other contracting party knew or was negligent in not knowing of the debtor's illiquidity or the filing of the petition for opening insolvency proceedings, respectively. Further, it is necessary that the respective legal act either constitutes satisfaction or securing of a creditor or is deemed to be disadvantageous.

The claim contesting a transaction must be filed within one year of the opening of insolvency proceedings by claim or objection by the insolvency administrator. It must seek a declaration of ineffectiveness of the contested transaction.

III RECENT LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS

The most recent development in the field of insolvency law in Austria is the comprehensive reform of debt settlement proceedings for natural persons that entered into force on 1 November 2017. Since 1 January 1995, natural persons have had the possibility of debt relief within the framework of debt settlement proceedings.⁴³ This is a special form of insolvency proceedings for natural persons, irrespective of whether they are consumers or individual entrepreneurs. The aim of debt settlement proceedings is to offer an insolvent person a realistic chance to 'start all over again' – commercially speaking – and to become debt-free after five years. During this period, a minimum debt repayment quota will no longer be required. The main focus of the reform proposals was the abolition of such a minimum quota of 10 per cent, which was finally implemented into law. Pursuant to the insolvency statistics for 2018, this reform has already had an enormous impact on the local market, as filings have increased by about 45 per cent. In 2018, a total of 10,054 bankruptcy proceedings were filed against natural persons. This was the first time the mark of 10,000 proceedings had been surpassed in Austria. The highest figure prior to this was 9,596 cases in 2011. Furthermore, the debt to be settled in 2018 reached €1,892 million, yet another record. As a percentage, this makes for an astonishing increase in debt of 93 per cent compared to the previous year.

In addition to certain specific topics in company insolvency law, such as the possibility for a call for a debt-to-equity swap for creditors, the introduction of specific hybrid preventative proceedings (similar to the German *Schutzschirmverfahren*), and group insolvency proceedings are being discussed on an ongoing basis. However, the above are very specific topics that are generally found to be applicable in too few instances that would merit the creation of a specific profound legal basis in Austria. In addition, similar topics are often also discussed at an international or EU level, for example, group insolvency proceedings (see Section V).

IV SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS, KEY DEVELOPMENTS AND MOST ACTIVE INDUSTRIES

The most prominent insolvency case in Austria in 2018 was that of Waagner-Biro AG, which filed for administrated restructuring proceedings with the Commercial Court of Vienna on 31 October 2018. According to the Austrian creditors' representation organisation KSV

43 Sections 181 et seq. of the Austrian Insolvency Code.

1870, this was also the biggest insolvency of the year. With liabilities of €199.3 million, the bridge, steel and stage construction company overtook Austrian Air Berlin subsidiary Niki, which had topped the ranking until recently (more information about the insolvency of Niki can be found in last year's issue of *The Restructuring Review*). Waagner-Biro's steel construction subsidiary SBE Alpha recorded liabilities of €99.4 million and thus had to be closed down immediately after its insolvency proceedings were dismissed because of a lack of assets to cover costs. Its bridge construction subsidiary recorded liabilities of €75 million and the holding company itself was €27.5 million in debt. The fact that a highly specialised and skilled company like Waagner-Biro had liabilities, warranties and other outstanding debts of such a magnitude was somewhat surprising. However, this was the result of two failed multibillion-euro projects: the rooftop of the new Louvre in Abu Dhabi and the construction of the Gazprom headquarters in St Petersburg. In particular, the construction of the steel structure at the Louvre in Abu Dhabi, for which the company had received the European Steel Building Award only one year before, tore a hole in the balance sheet because payments were withheld by the building owner.

The insolvency of MFC Corporate Services GmbH, a provider of trade finance and other services for German Pellets, was the third biggest insolvency in 2018 with recorded liabilities of €150 million. The real estate developer Wienwert recorded €71 million in liabilities and thus takes fourth place in this statistic, followed by Hitzinger, a manufacturer of electric plants, with debts of €40 million. Less extensive in scope, but nonetheless strongly represented in the media was the insolvency of Rosenberger Holding. Rosenberger operated restaurants and petrol stations along motorways all over Austria and was therefore well known to many in Austria. Its restaurant subsidiary, Rosenberger Restaurant GmbH, was able to file for administrated restructuring proceedings and has meanwhile been bought by Burger King which continues to run the motorway restaurants. However, the subsidiary company operating the petrol stations, Rosenberger Tankstellen GmbH, had to file for bankruptcy.

While, historically speaking, the Austrian construction business has been the industry with the greatest number of defaulting companies per year, construction businesses were pushed into second place in the insolvency statistics for 2018. The business-related services industry occupied first place in this unfavourable statistic. This is certainly due to the fact that the business-related services sector is a very large industry including professions regularly carried on by rather small companies or natural persons (e.g., insurance brokers, real estate brokers, real estate developers) without a healthy financial base. The third most affected sector in 2018 was the hospitality industry. Likewise, this can be explained by the sheer number of companies operating in this sector – the hospitality industry is Austria's largest industry sector by number of competitors – and the small size of the companies in this sector.

V INTERNATIONAL

On 26 June 2017, the recast EU Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings⁴⁴ came into force. This new Regulation is applicable to relevant insolvency proceedings from 26 June 2017 onwards. Importantly, the Regulation inter alia establishes new rules for insolvency proceedings opened in relation to a member of a group of companies. The EU has opted for a coordination procedure between the insolvency proceedings involving different companies of the same

⁴⁴ Regulation (EU) 2015/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on insolvency proceedings (recast).

group. Furthermore, the new law includes a clarification of the definition of COMI, which is one of the key connecting factors in European insolvency law. The Regulation further provides for two different means to refuse or postpone the request to open secondary proceedings. Generally speaking, however, the reform does not modify, but merely specifies, the fundamental framework of cross-border insolvency adopted by Regulation 1346/2000.⁴⁵

VI FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

On 24 May 2018, a revised version of the European Commission's proposal for a directive on preventive restructuring frameworks, second chance and measures to increase the efficiency of restructuring, insolvency and discharge procedures⁴⁶ was published by the Council of the European Union.⁴⁷ The Council's draft is now referred to as the 'Directive on restructuring, insolvency and discharge of debt'. The European Commission's initial proposal for this Directive was issued on 22 November 2016. If enacted, the Directive would be the first serious step towards the harmonisation of the domestic insolvency laws of EU Member States. The core element of the proposal sets out rules aimed at ensuring 'that viable enterprises in financial difficulties have access to effective national preventative restructuring frameworks which enable them to continue operating'. The basic idea is to create a pre-insolvency reorganisation procedure in which the debtor negotiates a plan with creditors or select classes of creditors and that – if voted on by the prescribed majority and approved by designated national administrative agencies – becomes binding, even on dissenting parties to the plan. To facilitate negotiations, the debtor would be able to apply for a stay on enforcement action by creditors, and the commencement of insolvency proceedings. Further, the proposal provides for a safe harbour for transactions that are made in connection with the restructuring and are not carried out fraudulently or in bad faith. Protection is awarded in particular to interim financing and new financing that is granted in connection with a restructuring process. The grantors of such financing are afforded protection against avoidance action, as well as liability under criminal or civil law, to which they could be exposed under the existing laws of certain Member States. The Council's Amendment affects, in particular, the proposed articles on the access to discharge of debt, the discharge period, the disqualification period for insolvent entrepreneurs and the use of electronic means of communication to increase the efficiency of restructuring and insolvency procedures. Those proposals and recommendations are mostly unknown to Austrian insolvency law. Thus, it remains to be seen how the Austrian legislator will transpose those recommendations into national law.

In Austria and with regard to insolvency law, there are no substantial reforms in preparation at the moment. Some minor changes were introduced this year by the Civil Law and Civil Procedure Amendment Act 2019. For example, the amendment clarified that not only natural persons and legal entities but also partnerships can be appointed as administrators in bankruptcy proceedings. Any previous substantial reform projects, such as, for example, the introduction of a debt-equity-swap scheme, are currently in abeyance.

The number of insolvencies depends largely on the performance of the economy and interest rates. Since the economy is not expected to deteriorate in 2019, as Austria's economy

45 For a comprehensive overview, see Nummer-Krautgasser/Graber/Jauffer, *Grenzüberschreitende Insolvenzen im Europäischen Binnenmarkt* (2017).

46 COM(2016) 723 final.

47 9236/18 ADD 1.

will continue to benefit from the upturn in its eastern neighbour states, and an interest rate hike is not likely until at least 2020, we do not expect a particular increase in insolvencies in 2019. Yet, a further decline is not to be expected either. Rather, there will most likely be a stabilisation at the current number of insolvencies.

However, we believe that a major challenge in Austria in the years to come will be the handling of non-performing loan portfolios of companies across industries, as the total amount of outstanding debt has reached an all-time high in Austria, both in the private and public sector. It may well be expected that increases in interest rates will have a significant and negative impact on debt repayment, and may potentially flood the Austrian market with NPL portfolios.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

THOMAS TRETTNAK

Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Hlawati Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Dr Thomas Trettnak (born 1976) is a partner at Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Hlawati Rechtsanwälte GmbH with its headquarters in Vienna and several offices in CEE. He heads the CEE insolvency and restructuring practice and is a member of the corporate and M&A practice.

He studied law and business in Austria, Spain and the United States (Mag et Dr iur, LL.M) and has a master's degree in Business Administration from the Kellogg School of Management (CM).

Dr Trettnak is admitted as an attorney in Austria and in New York, and became a partner at Cerha Hempel in 2010. He advises domestic and international clients mainly in (cross-border) M&A, restructuring and insolvency cases, venture capital and private equity transactions.

HEINRICH FOGLAR-DEINHARDSTEIN

Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Hlawati Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Heinrich Foglar-Deinhardstein (born 1978) is a partner at Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Hlawati Rechtsanwälte GmbH with its headquarters in Vienna and several offices in CEE.

He studied law in Austria (Mag iur in 2002) and at King's College in London (LL.M 2005).

Heinrich Foglar-Deinhardstein was admitted to the Bar in Austria in 2009 and became a partner at Cerha Hempel in 2013. He specialises in corporate law, insolvency and restructuring, cross-border M&A, corporate acquisitions, takeovers, squeeze-outs and foundations as well as restructurings and reorganisations.

CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

Parkring 2

A-1010 Vienna

Austria

Tel: +43 1 514 35 0

Fax: +43 1 514 35 35

thomas.tretnak@chsh.com

heinrich.foglar-deinhardstein@chsh.com

www.chsh.com



ISBN 978-1-83862-047-9